

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/084,283	DIDUCH ET AL.	

All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Amended</u>		
(1) <u>Glenn K. Dawson</u> .	(3) _____.		
(2) <u>Fariborz Moazzam</u> .	(4) _____.		
Date of Interview: <u>3 January 2006</u>	Time: <u>9:00 A.M.</u>		
Type of Interview:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No			
If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
32-34,37-45,47,53,55,58,64,66			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
<i>claims 32-34,37-45 and 47 were canceled as drawn to a non-elected invention and species. The other claims were amended to correct indefiniteness or typographical errors.</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)